	° - ₩				
1	LYSSA S. ANDERSON				
	Nevada Bar No. 5781				
2	RYAN W. DANIELS				
2	Nevada Bar No. 13094 KAEMPFER CROWELL				
3	1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 650				
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89135				
7	Telephone: (702) 792-7000				
5	Fax: (702) 796-7181				
	landerson@kcnvlaw.com				
6	rdaniels@kcnvlaw.com				
7	Attorneys for Defendants				
1	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department,				
8	Ryan Larson, John Newbold,				
_	Joshua Byington, and Marshall Kobza				
9	LINUTED CT ATEC DICTRICT COLUDT				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
11					
	AUSTIN CADEAUX,	Case No. 2:19-cv-01584-JAD-VCF			
12					
	Plaintiff,				
13	vs.	STIPULATION TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES			
14	LAS VEGAS METRO POLICE DEPT;	(3 rd Request)			
	OFFICERS JOHN DOE NOS. 1-5,	(ECF No. 53)			
15		(= = = = ,			
	Defendants.				
16	(30)				
17					
'	_				
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A	GREED between Las Vegas Metropolitan Police			
9	Department Ryan Larson John Newhold Jo	shua Byington and Marshall Kobza ("LVMPD			
.	2 open anom, 11 am 2 amoon, voim 110 woold, vo	onda Dynigion and Watshan Rooza (EVMI D			
20	Defendants"), by and through their counsel of Kaempfer Crowell, and Plaintiff Austin Cadeau:				
21	appearing in Proper Person, that discovery be continued for a period of sixty (60) days up to an				
	dependent of a period of sixty (00) days up to and				
22	including a discovery cutoff date of July 25, 2022.				
23	The requested extension is necessary because the Parties need additional time to complet				
24	discovery since Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint to include four individual LVMPI				

Officers. The individual Defendants should be afforded the opportunity to conduct discovery related to the claims against them and Plaintiff's deposition will need to be coordinated and taken following an Order from this Court allowing it.

I. BACKGROUND

A. Procedural History

Plaintiff filed his Complaint in Proper Person on September 25, 2019, against the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department ("LVMPD") and various DOE LVMPD Officers. [ECF]

No. 4]. LVMPD filed its Answer to the Complaint on March 16, 2020. [ECF No. 10].

Plaintiff filed multiple requests to stay discovery due to being incarcerated and COVID restrictions in the prison, which the Court granted; ECF Nos. 17 and 28. On July 21, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants' filed their First Motion to Extend Discovery which this Court granted. [ECF No. 43]. The LVMPD Defendants filed a Second Motion to Extend Discovery, [ECF No. 48], which this Court granted on January 24, 2022, [ECF No. 53] and provided the current discovery deadlines:

Discovery Cut Off:	May 24, 2022

Disclosure of Experts: March 25, 2022

Disclosure of Rebuttal Experts: April 25, 2022

Dispositive Motion Deadline: June 23, 2022

Pre-Trial Order: July 25, 2022

On June 15, 2021, the LVMPD Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss the DOE Defendants after the expiration of the deadline to amend pleadings. [ECF No. 32]. Plaintiff filed a Motion to amend his Complaint. [ECF No. 47]. The LVMPD Defendants opposed; again, because the request was untimely. [ECF No. 49]. The Court denied the Motion to Dismiss as moot and granted Plaintiff leave to amend his Complaint to name four individual LVMPD

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Officers. [ECF No. 53]. The individual LVMPD Officer were served and on March 7, 2022 the LVMPD Defendants filed their Answer to the Amended Complaint. [ECF No. 61].

The LVMPD Defendants have served their initial Rule 26 Disclosures on Plaintiff. As of the date of filing this Motion, Plaintiff has not provided any disclosures. On August 17, 2021, LVMPD¹ served Interrogatories and Requests for Admissions on Plaintiff. Plaintiff did not respond to the written discovery and on October 12, 2021 the LVMPD Defendants through Counsel sent a letter to Plaintiff demanding the responses on or before October 22, 2021. As of the date of filing this Motion, Plaintiff has not responded.

Plaintiff, however, has served Interrogatories and Requests for Admissions on LVMPD Defendants. The LVMPD Defendants have responded to Plaintiff's written discovery. The LVMPD Defendants timely disclosed their expert report. On April 11, 2022, the LVMPD Defendants filed a Motion to Take Plaintiff's Deposition as he is currently incarcerated at High Desert State Prison. [ECF No. 65].

B. Discovery that Remains to be Completed.

The individual Officers will serve written discovery on Plaintiff. If the Court issues an Order to take Plaintiff's deposition, the deposition of Plaintiff will be coordinated and taken at High Desert State Prison. The LVMPD Defendants' expert will amend/supplement his report to address the claims against the individual Officers; if necessary.

III. GROUNDS FOR AN EXTENSION

Local Rule 26-3 governs this Stipulation requesting an extension of the discovery deadlines. This Local Rule imposes a good cause standard to earn the extension:

A motion or stipulation to extend a deadline set forth in a discovery plan must be received by the court no later than 21 days before the expiration

¹ Until March 7, 2022, LVMPD was the only appearing Defendant in this case.

of the subject deadline. A request made within 21 days of the subject deadline must be supported by a showing of good cause. A request made after the expiration of the subject deadline will not be granted unless the movant also demonstrates that the failure to act was the result of excusable neglect.

Here, good cause supports extending the discovery cutoff deadline, dispositive motions deadline, and pretrial order deadline.

1. Reasons for the Extension

As noted in the LVMPD Defendants' prior requests to extend, Plaintiff has not provided any Rule 26 Disclosures whatsoever and has failed to respond to all written discovery propounded on him, including Requests for Admissions. The LVMPD Defendants may likely only get the information related to Plaintiff's claims and their defenses by deposing Plaintiff. The LVMPD Defendants have filed a Motion to take the deposition but it is still outstanding and once an Order is obtained additional time will be needed to coordinate a date to take Plaintiff's deposition.

Finally, but equally important, Plaintiff was given leave to amend his Complaint to name four individual Officers. This was done with little to no time left for the individual Officers to have an opportunity to conduct discovery related to the claims against them. The individual Officers should be allowed additional time to serve written discovery on Plaintiff and additionally, the LVMPD Defendants expert should be given additional time to supplement/amend his report for the new claims against the individual Officers.

2. Good Faith Efforts Supporting the Requested Extension

The LVMPD Defendants prepared and forwarded this Request to Plaintiff prior to deadline for the parties to seek an extension. Plaintiff has agreed that more time is needed and signed this Stipulation.

1 ||///

3. Length of Delay and Potential Impact on the Proceedings

A trial date has not been set in this case. Accordingly, granting the Stipulation will not create unnecessary delay in resolving this case on its merits.

4. No Prejudice Would Arise

No party will be prejudiced if the requested extension occurs. Plaintiff was just given leave to amend his complaint to name four individual LVMPD Officers. Now, in turn, the LVMPD Defendants should be afforded additional time to vet the claims against them and their expert given time to determine if his report needs to be amended/supplemented. Indeed, the LVMPD Defendants would be at a disadvantage if they are not given sufficient time to conduct some minimal discovery.

III. PROPOSED EXTENDED DEADLINES

The Parties respectfully request that this Court enter an order as follows:

Deadline	Current Date	Proposed New Date
Discovery Cutoff	May 24, 2022	July 25, 2022
Dispositive Motions Deadline	June 23, 2022	August 24, 2022
Join ^t Pretrial Memorandum Deadline Order	July 25, 2022	September 23, 2022
Order		•

C. Motions in Limine/Daubert Motions.

If dispositive motions are filed, the deadline for filing the joint pretrial order will be suspended until 30 days after decision on the dispositive motions or further court order.

Under LR 16-3(b), any motions in limine, including *Daubert* motions, shall be filed and served 30 days prior to the commencement of Trial. Oppositions shall be filed and served and the motion submitted for decision 14 days thereafter. Reply briefs will be allowed only with leave of the Court.

D. Extensions or Modification of the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order.

In accordance with LR 26-3, applications to extend any date set by the discovery plan,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

scheduling order, or other order must, in addition to satisfying the requirements of LR 26-1, be supported by a showing of good cause for the extension. All motions or stipulations to extend a deadline set forth in a discovery plan shall be received by the Court not later than 21 days before the expiration of the subject deadline. A request made after the expiration of the subject deadline shall not be granted unless the movant demonstrates that the failure to set was the result of excusable neglect. Any motion or stipulation to extend a deadline or to reopen discovery shall include:

(a) A statement specifying the discovery completed;

(b) A specific description of the discovery that remains to be completed;

- (c) The reasons why the deadline was not satisfied or the remaining discovery was not completed within the time limits set by the discovery plan; and
 - (d) A proposed scheduled for completing all discovery.

(d) 12 13 /// 14 /// 15 /// 16 17 /// 111 18 19 /// 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

KAEMPFER CROWELL 1980 Festival Plaza Drive Suite 650 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

||Case 2:19-cv-01584-JAD-VCF | Document 69 | Filed 05/02/22 | Page 7 of 7

1	This request for an extension is made in good faith and joined by all the Parties in this		
2	case. The Request is timely pursuant to LR 26-3. Trial is not yet set in this matter and		
3	dispositive motions have not yet been filed. Accordingly, this extension will not delay this case.		
4	Moreover, since this request is a joint request, neither party will be prejudiced. The extension		
5	will allow the parties the necessary time to complete discovery.		
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED this 20 day of APril, 2022.		
7	KAEMPFER CROWELL		
8	By: /s/ HULL By: 10 4/20/27		
9	LYSSA S. ANDERSON Nevada Bar No. 5781 High Desert State Prison		
10	RYAN W. DANIELS P.O. Box 650 Nevada Bar No. 13094 Indian Springs NV 80070		
11	1980 Festival Plaza Drive Suite 650 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Indian Springs, NV 89070 Plaintiff in Proper Person		
12	Attorneys for Defendants Las Vegas Metropolitan Police		
13	Department, Ryan Larson, John Newbold, Joshua Byington, and		
14	Marshall Kobza		
15	<u>ORDER</u>		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
17	Contacto		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE Magistrate		
19	Dated: 5-2-2022		
20			
21			
22			
23			

KAEMPFER CROWELL 1980 Festival Plaza Drive Suite 650 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

24

3100788_1 6943.202